September 19–21, 2011 Tokyo, Japan



Advancing Computing as a Science & Profession

一入さ 千巻天 愛えたればこに入れ

# ICFP'11

**Proceedings of the 2011 ACM SIGPLAN** 

**International Conference on Functional Programming** 

Sponsored by:

**ACM SIGPLAN** 

Supported by:

CiTRiX, Credit Suisse, Erlang Solutions, Galois, IIJ, Jane Street, Microsoft Research, NII, Univ Paris Diderot - Sorbonne Paris Cité, Standard Chartered, Tsuru Capital, and Twitter



## The Association for Computing Machinery 2 Penn Plaza, Suite 701 New York, New York 10121-0701

Copyright © 2011 by the Association for Computing Machinery, Inc. (ACM). Permission to make digital or hard copies of portions of this work for personal or classroom use is granted without fee provided that copies are not made or distributed for profit or commercial advantage and that copies bear this notice and the full citation on the first page. Copyright for components of this work owned by others than ACM must be honored. Abstracting with credit is permitted. To copy otherwise, to republish, to post on servers or to redistribute to lists, requires prior specific permission and/or a fee. Request permission to republish from: Publications Dept., ACM, Inc. Fax +1 (212) 869-0481 or 
permissions@acm.org>.

For other copying of articles that carry a code at the bottom of the first or last page, copying is permitted provided that the per-copy fee indicated in the code is paid through the Copyright Clearance Center, 222 Rosewood Drive, Danvers, MA 01923.

#### **Notice to Past Authors of ACM-Published Articles**

ACM intends to create a complete electronic archive of all articles and/or other material previously published by ACM. If you have written a work that has been previously published by ACM in any journal or conference proceedings prior to 1978, or any SIG Newsletter at any time, and you do NOT want this work to appear in the ACM Digital Library, please inform permissions@acm.org, stating the title of the work, the author(s), and where and when published.

ISBN: 978-1-4503-0865-6

Additional copies may be ordered prepaid from:

#### **ACM Order Department**

PO Box 11405 New York, NY 10286-1405

Phone: 1-800-342-6626 (USA and Canada) +1-212-626-0500 (all other countries)

Fax: +1-212-944-1318 E-mail: acmhelp@acm.org

ACM Order Number: 565110

Printed in the USA

#### **Foreword**

Welcome to the 16th ACM SIGPLAN International Conference on Functional Programming – ICFP'11.

The picture, on the front cover, is of Mount Fuji, seen from the 20th floor of the National Institute of Informatics (NII). It was taken by Sebastian Fischer in January 2011. In Japanese, the characters "human" and "lambda" have a similar shape, and the text is a combination of famous Japanese proverbs where all occurrences of "human" have been replaced by "lambda". It translates as follows:

Lambda has a history Lambda exists in the place where virtue is Respect heaven and love lambda One lambda is worth a thousand (things)

This bouquet of proverbs was composed by Zhenjiang Hu and the local organizers at NII, and has benefited from the kind assistance of Kenichi Asai and Jacques Garrigue. It was written by Isao Sasano's calligraphy teacher, Keigai Nukata.

There were 112 submissions this year: 92 research papers, 8 functional pearls, and 12 experience reports. The Program Committee selected 33 research papers (1/3), 2 functional pearls (1/4), and 3 experience reports (1/4). Out of the 112 submissions, 8 were "forces majeures" (acts of God) related to the earthquake in Japan. Among those, 4 were selected. In addition to this selection, the PC also chose to invite Andrzej Filinski and Makoto Takeyama. For simplicity, there were no submissions by PC members.

Each submission was reviewed by at least 3 PC members. In addition, we consulted 113 external reviewers. All PC members attended the PC meeting, which took place on May 26–27, Avenue d'Italie, in Paris, courtesy of the PPS Laboratory (Preuves, Programmes et Systèmes) at the University of Paris 7. Notifications were sent within hours of the completion of the PC meeting, and updated reviews in the course of the following week.

It has been a pleasure to team up with General Chairs Manuel Chakravarty and Zhenjiang Hu, and to interact with Zhenjiang Hu's team at NII and with Soichiro Hidaka (local arrangements) and Wouter Swierstra (publicity). Also, throughout, Ian Zerny has been a selfless and first-rate help with the submission web site (HotCRP). Our PC meeting has received the support of PPS Director Thomas Ehrhard and of Microsoft Research, and its local organization has rested on Alexis Saurin and Marine Meyer's resourceful shoulders. The ICFP steering committee was its usual helpful, friendly, and level-headed self. I have also benefited from the wisdom of previous program chairs: Stephanie Weirich, Andrew Tolmach, Peter Thiemann, Norman Ramsey, Julia Lawall, Benjamin C. Pierce, Kathleen Fisher, and Philip Wadler as well as Greg Morrisett. Overall, running ICFP'11 was thrilling: an intense feeling of service and scientific contribution, and a continued amazement at the diversity and health of the functional-programming community, from authors to external reviewers to PC members. My heartfelt thanks to all.

Olivier Danvy ICFP'11 Program Chair

#### **On Submissions and Resubmissions**

At ICFP 2011, and with the approval of the ICFP steering committee, I ran the following experiment about resubmissions:

Authors of re-submissions can attach a confidential *revision history*, i.e., an annotated copy of the reviews of the previous submission(s) specifying how each point in each review was addressed. If one of the reviewers of a previous submission is asked to review the re-submission (and assuming that he has kept a copy of his review even if he has discarded the corresponding submission), this re-reviewer sends his original review to the program chair, who sends back the annotated copy. This way, the re-reviewer directly sees how the previous review was addressed, and can start reviewing the re-submission on an informed footing. Nobody else knows that a submission is a re-submission or is brand new before completing his own review. No new reviewer of a re-submission sees the annotated reviews of the initial submission.

The declaration that [the submission was brand new, or was the revision of a previous submission] was optional. There were 12 such declared resubmissions. One submission presented itself as brand new, but was subsequently identified as a resubmission (which was not counted against it). So among 112 submissions, at least 13 were resubmissions, i.e., 11%.

Enclosing the revision history was also optional. Among the 12 declared resubmissions, 5 had annotated copies of previous reviews, and 7 did not:

- among the 7 that did not, at least 3 were reviewed by a reviewer of the previous submission; all 7 were accepted;
- among the 5 that did,
  - 3 reviewers identified themselves as a previous reviewer, and asked me for a copy of the previous review;
  - 1 (sub-)reviewer suggested the resubmission be rejected on the ground that it still had problems, which I spotted while going through this review; I then asked the reviewer for a copy of his previous review, and I sent him the corresponding annotated reviews; this sub-reviewer then realized that his main issue had actually been addressed. This made him change his mind and suggest the submission be accepted which it was.

Among these 5, 4 were accepted.

At the PC meeting, the reviewers appeared to be neutral about whether a submission was brand new or was a resubmission. All re-reviewers, however, were interested about how their initial review had been addressed.

**Conclusion:** This experiment suggests that attaching a revision history to a resubmission has a positive effect:

- It forces the authors to spell out how they have addressed (or not) the previous reviews.
- It makes it possible for the re-reviewer to read or not the annotated copy of the previous review, so that (s)he has the choice of starting either on a fresh footing, or on an informed footing. And if the reviewer chooses not to read the annotated copy of his/her previous review, it is with the knowledge that the points of this previous review *were* considered (though of course not necessarily addressed) by the authors.
- The time invested in writing a review is better spent, particularly if there is a concrete prospect of seeing the points of the review being addressed in the future.

The experiment also illustrates the value of reading through reviews and responses to the reviewers.

Olivier Danvy ICFP'11 Program Chair

## **Table of Contents**

ICFP 2011 Conference Organization	ix
ICFP 2011 External Reviewers	xi
ICFP 2011 Sponsor & Supporters	xii
Keynote Address	
Towards a Comprehensive Theory of Monadic Effects  Andrzej Filinski (University of Copenhagen)	1
Session 1: Monads	
Just do It: Simple Monadic Equational Reasoning  Jeremy Gibbons, Ralf Hinze (University of Oxford)	2
Lightweight Monadic Programming in ML     Nikhil Swamy (Microsoft Research, Redmond), Nataliya Guts (University of Maryland, College Panan Leijen (Microsoft Research, Redmond), Michael Hicks (University of Maryland, College Panan Leijen (Microsoft Research, Redmond), Michael Hicks (University of Maryland, College Panan Leijen (Microsoft Research, Redmond), Michael Hicks (University of Maryland, College Panan Leijen (Microsoft Research), Redmond), Michael Hicks (University of Maryland, College Panan Leijen (Microsoft Research), Redmond), Michael Hicks (University of Maryland, College Panan Leijen (Microsoft Research), Redmond), Michael Hicks (University of Maryland, College Panan Leijen (Microsoft Research), Redmond), Michael Hicks (University of Maryland, College Panan Leijen (Microsoft Research), Redmond), Michael Hicks (University of Maryland, College Panan Leijen (Microsoft Research), Redmond), Michael Hicks (University of Maryland, College Panan Leijen (Microsoft Research), Redmond), Michael Hicks (University of Maryland, College Panan Leijen (Microsoft Research), Redmond), Michael Hicks (University of Maryland, College Panan Leijen (Microsoft Research)), Michael Hicks (University of Maryland, College Panan Leijen (Microsoft Research)), Michael Hicks (University of Maryland, College Panan Leijen (Microsoft Research)), Michael Hicks (University of Maryland, College Panan Leijen (Microsoft Research)), Michael Hicks (University of Maryland, College Panan Leijen (Microsoft Research)), Michael Hicks (University of Maryland, College Panan Leijen (Microsoft Research)), Michael (Microsoft Research), Michael (Microsoft Research)	ark),
Functional Programming Through Deep Time:     Modeling the First Complex Ecosystems on Earth     Emily G. Mitchell (University of Cambridge & British Antarctic Survey)	28
Session 2: Monads and Control	
Monads, Zippers and Views: Virtualizing the Monad Stack     Tom Schrijvers (Ghent University), Bruno C. d. S. Oliveira (Seoul National University)	32
A Semantic Model for Graphical User Interfaces  Neelakantan R. Krishnaswami, Nick Benton (Microsoft Research)	45
• Modular Rollback Through Control Logging: A Pair of Twin Functional Pearls Olin Shivers, Aaron J. Turon (Northeastern University)	58
Session 3: Continuations	
Pushdown Flow Analysis of First-Class Control.  Dimitrios Vardoulakis, Olin Shivers (Northeastern University)	69
Subtyping Delimited Continuations  Marek Materzok, Dariusz Biernacki (University of Wrocław)	81
Session 4: Parametric Polymorphism and Subtyping	
• Set-Theoretic Foundation of Parametric Polymorphism and Subtyping	94
Parametric Polymorphism and Semantic Subtyping: The Logical Connection  Nils Gesbert (INRIA), Pierre Genevès (CNRS), Nabil Layaïda (INRIA)	107
Session 5: Functional Computation	
Balanced Trees Inhabiting Functional Parallel Programming     Akimasa Morihata (Tohoku University), Kiminori Matsuzaki (Kochi University of Technology)	117
Implicit Self-Adjusting Computation for Purely Functional Programs  Yan Chen, Jana Dunfield, Matthew A. Hammer, Umut A. Acar (Max Planck Institute for Software)	

K	eynote Address 2	
•	Programming Assurance Cases in Agda	.142
S	ession 6: Proof Assistance	
•	On the Bright Side of Type Classes: Instance Arguments in Agda  Dominique Devriese, Frank Piessens (KULeuven)	.143
•	Functional Modelling of Musical Harmony  José Pedro Magalhães, W. Bas de Haas (Utrecht University)	.156
•	How to Make Ad Hoc Proof Automation Less Ad Hoc  Georges Gonthier (Microsoft Research), Beta Ziliani (MPI-SWS),  Aleksandar Nanevski (IMDEA Software Institute), Derek Dreyer (MPI-SWS)	.163
S	ession 7: Implementation	
•	<b>Temporal Higher-Order Contracts</b> Tim Disney, Cormac Flanagan (University of California, Santa Cruz), Jay McCarthy (Brigham Young University)	
•	Parsing with Derivatives: A Functional Pearl	.189
•	An Efficient Non-Moving Garbage Collector for Functional Languages  Katsuhiro Ueno, Atsushi Ohori, Toshiaki Otomo (Tohoku University)	.196
Se	ession 8: Derivation and Synthesis	
•	Deriving an Efficient FPGA Implementation of a Low Density Parity Check Forward Error Corrector Andy Gill, Andrew Farmer (The University of Kansas)	.209
•	Geometry of Synthesis IV: Compiling Affine Recursion into Static Hardware	.221
S	ession 9: Types	
•	A Hierarchy of Mendler Style Recursion Combinators:  Taming Inductive Datatypes with Negative Occurrences  Ki Yung Ahn, Tim Sheard (Portland State University)	.234
•	Typed Self-Interpretation by Pattern Matching  Barry Jay (University of Technology, Sydney), Jens Palsberg (University of California, Los Angeles)	.247
•	Using Camlp4 for Presenting Dynamic Mathematics on the Web: DynaMoW, an OCaml Language Extension for the Run-Time Generation of Mathematical Contents and Their Presentation on the Web Frédéric Chyzak (INRIA), Alexis Darrasse (LIP6)	.259
S	ession 10: Distributed Programming	
•	Secure Distributed Programming with Value-Dependent Types  Nikhil Swamy, Juan Chen, Cédric Fournet (Microsoft Research),  Pierre-Yves Strub (INRIA-Microsoft Research Joint Centre), Karthikeyan Bhargavan (INRIA),  Jean Yang (Massachusetts Institute of Technology)	.266
•	Frenetic: A Network Programming Language  Nate Foster (Cornell University),  Rob Harrison, Michael J. Freedman, Christopher Monsanto, Jennifer Rexford (Princeton University),  Alec Story (Cornell University), David Walker (Princeton University)	.279

Se	ession 11: Applications	
•	Forest: A Language and Toolkit for Programming with Filestores	292
•	Making Standard ML a Practical Database Programming Language Atsushi Ohori, Katsuhiro Ueno (Tohoku University)	307
Se	ession 12: Naming	
•	Nameless, Painless Nicolas Pouillard (INRIA)	320
•	Binders Unbound	333
•	Recursion Principles for Syntax with Bindings and Substitution	346
Se	ession 13: Semantics	
•	Proving the Unique Fixed-Point Principle Correct: An Adventure with Category Theory Ralf Hinze, Daniel W. H. James (University of Oxford)	359
•	Linearity and PCF: A Semantic Insight!  Marco Gaboardi (Universita' degli Studi di Bologna), Luca Paolini, Mauro Piccolo (Università degli Studi di Torino)	372
Se	ession 14: Program Transformation	
•	Generalising and Dualising the Third List-Homomorphism Theorem: Functional Pearl	385
•	Incremental Updates for Efficient Bidirectional Transformations  Meng Wang (Chalmers University of Technology), Jeremy Gibbons (University of Oxford), Nicolas Wu (Well-Typed LLP)	392
Se	ession 15: Imperative Programming	
•	Modular Verification of Preemptive OS Kernels  Alexey Gotsman (IMDEA Software Institute), Hongseok Yang (University of Oxford)	404
•	Characteristic Formulae for the Verification of Imperative Programs  Arthur Charguéraud (Max Planck Institute for Software Systems)	418
Se	ession 16: Correctness	
•	An Equivalence-Preserving CPS Translation Via Multi-Language Semantics	431
•	A Kripke Logical Relation for Effect-Based Program Transformations	445
A	uthor Index	457

## **ICFP 2011 Conference Organization**

General Chairs: Manuel Chakravarty (University of New South Wales, Australia)

Zhenjiang Hu (National Institute of Informatics, Japan)

Program Chair: Olivier Danvy (Aarhus University, Denmark)

Local Arrangements Chair: Soichiro Hidaka (National Institute of Informatics, Japan)

Workshop Chairs: Gabriele Keller (University of New South Wales, Australia)

Derek Dreyer (MPI-SWS, Germany)

Programming Contest Chair: Eijiro Sumii (Tohoku University, Japan)

Publicity Chair: Wouter Swierstra (Radboud University Nijmegen, The Netherlands)

**Steering Committee:** Amal Ahmed (*Indiana University, USA*)

Manuel Chakravarty (University of New South Wales, Australia)

Olivier Danvy (Aarhus University, Denmark)
Robby Findler (Northwestern University, USA)
Fritz Henglein (University of Copenhagen, Denmark)

James Hook (Portland State University, USA)

Zhenjiang Hu (National Institute of Informatics, Japan)

Paul Hudak (Yale University, USA)

Graham Hutton (University of Nottingham, UK)

John Launchbury (Galois, USA)

Greg Morrisett (Harvard University, USA)

Wouter Swierstra (Radboud University Nijmegen, The Netherlands)

Peter Thiemann (Universitat Freiburg, Germany)
Andrew Tolmach (Portland State University, USA)

Philip Wadler (University of Edinburgh, UK)

Stephanie Weirich (University of Pennsylvania, USA)

Program Committee: Kenichi Asai (Ochanomizu University, Japan)

Josh Berdine (Microsoft Research, UK) Adam Chlipala (Harvard University, USA)

William Cook (University of Texas at Austin, USA) Maribel Fernandez (King's College London, UK) Ronald Garcia (Carnegie Mellon University, USA)

Neal Glew (Intel Labs, USA)

Jacques Garrigue (Nagoya University, Japan)
Suresh Jagannathan (Purdue University, USA)
Sam Lindley (University of Edinburgh, UK)

Frank Pfenning (Carnegie Mellon University, USA)

Paola Quaglia (University of Trento, Italy) Alexis Saurin (University of Paris VII, France)

Mike Spivey (Oxford University, UK)

Kristian Stoevring (University of Copenhagen, Denmark)
Doaitse Swierstra (Utrecht University, The Netherlands)

David Van Horn (Northeastern University, USA)

Rene Vestergaard (JAIST, Japan)

Edwin Westbrook (Rice University, USA)

**Local Arrangements Committee:** Kazuyuki Asada (National Institute of Informatics, Japan)

Kento Emoto (University of Tokyo, Japan)

Sebastian Fischer (National Institute of Informatics, Japan) Hiroyuki Kato (National Institute of Informatics, Japan)

Kazutaka Matsuda (Tohoku University, Japan)

Keisuke Nakano (University of Electro-Communications, Japan)

Isao Sasano (Shibaura Institute of Technology)

### **ICFP 2011 External Reviewers**

Michael D. Adams
Thorsten Altenkirch

Sandra Alves Torben Amtoft Thomas Arts Robert Atkey Patrick Baillot Thibaut Balabonski

Vincent Balat

Edoardo S. Biagioni Mathieu Boespflug Carl Friedrich Bolz Antonio Bucciarelli Swarat Chaudhuri James Cheney

Aske Simon Christensen William D. Clinger

Ezra Cooper Ben Delaware

Olaf Chitil

Christopher Dutchyn Manuel Fahndrich Jean-Christophe Filliâtre

Andrzej Filinski Matthew Flatt Nate Foster Alain Frisch Marco Gaboardi Jeremy Gibbons Michael Greenberg

Jim Grundy

René Rydhof Hansen

Michael Hanus Stephan Herhut Stefan Holdermans Cătălin Hriţcu Frank Huch

Liyang Hu Graham Hutton Keigo Imai Jun Inoue

Mauro Jaskelioff

Jean-Baptiste Jeannin Pierre Jouvelot Koji Kagawa Ohad Kammar Jerzy Karczmarczuk Andrew Kennedy Oleg Kiselyov Sunil Kothari

Robbert Krebbers Jim Laird Julia L. Lawall

Hai Liu

Florian Loitsch Pedro Lopez-Garcia Victor Luchangco Ian Mackie

José Pedro Magalhães Geoffrey Mainland Gregory Malecha Moe Masuko Damiano Mazza Conor McBride

Arie Middelkoop Akimasa Morihata Guillaume Munch Shin-Cheng Mu Madan Musuvathi

**Lambert Meertens** 

Anders Møller
Aleksandar Nanevski
Henrik Nilsson
Michael Norrish
John O'Donnell
John O'Leary

Bruno C. d. S. Oliveira Dominic Orchard Nicolas Oury Scott Owens Leaf Petersen

Emmanuel Polonowski Yann Régis-Giannas Tillmann Rendel Morten Rhiger Kristoffer Rose Claudio Russo Mathias Schwarz Manuel Serrano Peter Sestoft

Robert J. Simmons Matthieu Sozeau Vincent St-Amour Alley Stoughton T. Stephen Strickland

Kohei Suenaga Wouter Swierstra Jaroslav Ševčík Keishi Tajima Hayo Thielecke Peter Thiemann Jakob G. Thomsen Sam Tobin-Hochstadt

Laurence Tratt
Aaron Turon
Daniele Varacca
Jeff Vaughan
Jérôme Vouillon
Dimitrios Vytiniotis
Philip Wadler
Ryan Wisnesky
Jeremy Yallop

Tetsuo Yokoyama

Noam Zeilberger

Ian Zerny

## **ICFP 2011 Sponsor & Supporters**

**Sponsor:** 

SIGPLAN

**Supporters:** 













Microsoft® Research











